# Inclusion By Design: Survey Your Syllabus and Course Design <br> A Worksheet <br> Ed Brantmeier, Center for Faculty Innovation and College of Education, JMU <br> Andreas Broscheid, Department of Political Science, JMU <br> Carl S. Moore, Research Academy for Integrated Learning, UDC 

This survey tool was designed for you to examine a particular syllabus and course design to get a broader perspective on inclusion in your actual teaching practices. We have organized this worksheet in three sections: 1. The context and design of your course. 2. The "text" of your syllabus and course design. 3. And the subtext of your syllabus.

## 1. Inclusion and Course Context

Examine situational factors by writing short answers to these questions.
A. People: Who will most likely be in your class? (Consider student characteristics such as race, gender, class, ability, religion, language, geographic region, sexual orientation, ability/disability, first generation college, other invisible status, etc.)
B. Content: What different perspectives and viewpoints are included in the course content?
C. Relevance: What ways are there to connect the course topic and content to your students and the real world?
D. Pedagogy: What are the pedagogical choices available to you in your discipline and how diverse are they? (Examples: lecture, team-based learning, problem-based learning, socratic method, simulations, role-play, debate, service learning)
E. Values: What values do you intend to instill in this course? (Examples: Inquiry, community, discipline, deliberation, critical thinking, value of difference)
F. Climate: How will differences of positionality/opinion/thinking be handled in the classroom? How can you create safe spaces for both visible and invisible minority students?

## 2. Inclusion and "Text": Syllabus and Course Design

In this section, you summarize your thoughts quantitatively, using the five-point scales provided. In addition, you may want to write short explanatory notes for each question that provide examples and/or describe why you selected a particular score.

To create a summary score for how inclusive your syllabus and course design are, add the quantitative responses to all questions that you find relevant for your course, then divide the resulting number by the number of questions multiplied by five. A result close to ' 0 ' means your course lacks inclusion; a result close to ' 1 ' means your course is highly inclusive.

## Frame and Tone of the syllabus

A. Tone: What is the balance between inviting, friendly, and supportive sections and rules or prohibitions in your syllabus? Is the syllabus written in an inviting, friendly, and supportive tone, or is it mainly a list of rules and regulations?

Rules and Inviting regulations

1
2
3
4
5
B. Perspectives: Does the syllabus on the whole communicate openness to multiple perspectives and experiences, or is it mainly focused on one perspective?

Multiple perspectives

1
2
3
4
5
C. Student appeal: Does the course description/introduction appeal to a variety of students and perspectives or does it mainly target one type of student?

| One type of <br> student | 2 | Variety of <br> students |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |

D. Accessible syllabus: How accessible is your syllabus as a document? (You may want to check JMU's ODS page or the Universal Design Validator at the Equity and Excellence in Higher Education website to answer this question.)

Low level of Accessible to all accessibility

## Learning Objectives

A. Clarity: Are the learning goals and objectives clearly stated or mainly implied?

Mainly implied
1

2
3
4
Clearly stated 5
B. Student interests: To what extent do the objectives appeal to a range or variety of student interests? Do the objectives appeal to and reflect the interests and learning needs of different types/groups of students? (Consider student differences related to socio-demographic factors, first generation status, ability, sexual orientation etc.)

| Appeal to one <br> type of student | Appeal to a <br> range of <br> students |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

C. Learning domains: To what extent do the learning objectives appeal to the head, heart, and hand? In other words, do they cover cognitive, affective, and psycho-motor domains?

Objectives Objectives cover
belong to one various domains domain
1
2
3
4
5
D. Levels: To what extent do the cognitive learning objectives appeal to different levels/types of thinking? (Summary, evaluation, application, analysis, synthesis, etc.)

One level Multiple levels
1
2
3
4
5
E. Diversity: To what extent do some of the learning objectives aim at diversity- or inclusionrelated knowledge, skills, or attitudes?

No diversity-
All objectives relate to diversity

4
5

## Assessment

A. Variety: To what extent does the course employ a variety of assignments? Do the students have a variety of ways to show what they know? Or does the course rely on only few types of assignment?

One type of Several types of assignment assignment
1
2
3
4
5
B. Formative assessment: Is there a variety of formative assessments (assignments) that provide students with immediate feedback and opportunities to improve?

No formative Many formative assessment assessments

1
2
3
4
5
C. Alignment: To what extent do the assessments measure student knowledge and skills that are taught in the class and correspond to learning objectives, or do they measure extraneous knowledge and skills?

Assessments test Assessments extraneous align with knowledge/skills

2
3
4 objectives and teaching
D. Fixed and flexible options: Is divergent, creative thinking rewarded or do assessments require students to conform to one common norm?

| Assessments | Assessments |
| :--- | ---: |
| establish one | reward creativity |
| norm |  |

1
2
3
4
5

## Teaching and Learning Activities

A. Culturally responsive teaching: To what extent do teaching activities meet the needs of diverse learners, diverse learning styles, diverse ways of processing information, diverse performative styles? (Examples: Experiential learning, collaborative group work, individual activities, peer teaching/editing/sharing, one on one instructor time.)

| Teaching <br> requires one <br> type of learning | 2 | 3 | Teaching |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | supports diverse |  |  |
| types of learning |  |  |  |

B. Flexibility/adaptation: How much flexibility is there in the course design to modify and adjust to meet the learning opportunities that arise in the moment in the classroom?

No flexibility

High level of
flexibility

2
3
4
5
C. Alignment: Are the teaching and learning activities aligned with the objectives? Or are they disconnected?

Activities do not Activities align align with with objectives objectives
1
2
3
4
5
D. Interaction patterns: Do learning activities promote inclusive interactive patterns? Do students cooperatively learn together? Or is instruction based on one-directional information provision by the instructor?

Teaching as Learning through
information
provision
inclusive
interaction

2
3
4
5
E. Shared teaching: Do students have shared responsibility in their (and their fellow students') learning? For example, do students lead discussion groups, reteach concepts, or otherwise contribute to the teaching?

Instructor alone is Students share responsible for responsibility for teaching learning
1
2
3
4
5
F. Engagement: To what extent do you encourage students to interact with you and with each other?

I don't encourage \begin{tabular}{r}
Encourage <br>
interaction

 

interaction in a <br>
variety of ways
\end{tabular}

3
4
5

## Content

A: Perspectives: To what extent do the course materials, such as readings, provide a full spectrum of perspectives on topics?

The material
presents one
perspective

The material presents a wide
variety of
perspectives
1
2
3
4
5
B. Voice: To what extent does the course material represent a variety of voices?

| The material | The material |
| :--- | ---: |
| presents one | presents a wide |
| voice | variety of voices |

1
2
3
4
5
C. Pace: To what extent does the pace of the course content allow for multiple processing speeds?

| Content requires | Content permits |
| :--- | ---: |
| common pace | for multiple |
| speeds |  |

1
2
3
4
5
D. Course materials: To what extent does the format of the course material respond to a broad range of learning preferences (reading written text, visual and audio media preferences, etc.)?

One format

Multiple formats
4
5
E. Accessibility: To what extent is the course material accessible to all students, including those with disabilities? (For example, do visual media have subtitles, can online readings be recognized by screen readers, etc.)

The material is
All course
not accessible

2
3
4
5

## 3. Inclusion and Subtext

In this section, write short responses to explore the implicit assumptions, rules, and requirements of your course..

## Hidden Curriculum

A. Implicit rules: What formal and informal rules, assumptions, values are important for the course but not stated in the syllabus?
B. Implicit messages:What unwritten messages does the syllabus convey about the course, content, and learning? Is there a "hidden curriculum" embedded in the syllabus?
C. Hidden biases: In which ways does the "hidden curriculum" potentially discriminate against some students? (For example, do you use only one type of assessment to determines grades, and does the disadvantage some of the students in ways unrelated to their learning?)
D. Teaching philosophy: What is your teaching philosophy (student-centered learning, teachercentered information dissemination, cooperative learning, etc.) and how does the syllabus communicate it to students? Do you clearly communicate your teaching philosophy to avoid biases?
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