GUIDELINES FOR PROPOSING NEW DEGREE PROGRAMS AT TUFTS UNIVERSITY

These guidelines outline the steps necessary to develop a written proposal and to obtain the approvals prerequisite to formal Provost consideration and Trustee approval for new degrees or Provost approval for new certificate programs. If the degree program involves other universities or entities, the Affiliation Agreement Internal Approval Process should be followed (see http://provost.tufts.edu/policies/agreements).

Proposals for new degree programs are welcome; new programs enable the university to maximize the use of educational resources, and also help to keep the university current in the educational marketplace. Ideas for new degree and certificate programs may arise in response to changes in market demand, to emergence of new disciplines or changes in existing disciplines, and to the potential for new interdisciplinary and interschool programs. Regardless of who initiates a proposal for a new degree or certificate program, it must pass through a formal approval process before it can be implemented. New degree programs must be reviewed and approved by the Board of Trustees before they can be advertised, students recruited, or the program implemented. New certificate programs must be approved by the Provost’s Office before they can be advertised, students recruited, or the program implemented.

Joint, interdisciplinary, or dual degree proposals (those that cross departmental, school, or institutional boundaries) must fully explain the details of academic and administrative responsibility between or among the academic units involved, including tuition sharing, overlapping curriculum, etc. The Provost or his/her designee will consult the administrative University Research and Graduate Programs Council to review and to comment on all joint, interdisciplinary, or dual degree programs.

Doctoral programs, either within an existing academic unit or in an interdisciplinary area, must be designed to ensure that the nascent programs fit the mission of the university, have potential for excellence, and have adequate advocacy and resources. Key criteria for a successful new doctoral program are:

- A strong faculty advocate within the department or program,
- A critical mass of committed faculty members, who are to be involved in the program and who have an established record of collaboration,
- A distinguishing niche or unmet need,
- The ability to leverage other strengths at Tufts,
- Strong academic administrative support in the department(s), program(s), and school(s) involved,
- An undergraduate research component, if the opportunity exists for synergistic doctoral and undergraduate education, and
- A comprehensive financial plan that addresses the viability and sustainability of the proposed program.

The Provost or his/her designee will consult the faculty University Council on Graduate Education for all doctoral program proposals. Program developers should consider the various guidance documents and recommendations produced by that council (see http://www.tufts.edu/provost/initiatives/gradcouncil/).

The following steps are applicable in their entirety for degree programs. For questions or assistance, contact:

Mary Y. Lee, MD, Associate Provost (Mary.Lee@tufts.edu or 617-627-4733) or
Vincent P. Manno, ScD, Associate Provost (Vincent.Manno@tufts.edu or 617-627-2548)

The cognizant Associate Provost will work with the planning committee to adopt the various steps for specific certificate programs.
REQUIRED STEPS:
1. **Program Conceptualization**
The key faculty members should discuss their program concept with the appropriate department chair(s) and the dean(s) of the school(s) that will award the degree and/or that will provide core program components. Note that while only one school will award the degree, multiple schools may be critical in the content delivery and program implementation. Once the dean(s) affirms his/her support of the concept, the program concept leaders should:
   a. Establish preliminary versions of program goals and objectives, develop a timeline, approximate program costs, and administrative structure. In the case of doctoral programs, establish how the various criteria stated in the preamble of this document are to be addressed;
   b. Discuss the degree/certificate proposal with the chair(s) of the department(s) that will play a central role in the content development and delivery;
   c. Identify members for a Planning Committee; and
   d. Contact one of the Associate Provosts to discuss the program concept.

At this point, one of the Associate Provosts will be designated as the principal Provost’s Office contact for the particular program. The Academic Dean will act as the principal contact for the school.

2. **Form a Planning Committee to develop a formal and detailed program proposal.**
The Associate Provost will assist the Academic Dean and the Planning Committee with the planning and submission steps. The Associate Provost will also inform the Research and Graduate Programs Council at this stage. The Planning Committee should also consult key administrative units (e.g. Registrar’s Office, Student Affairs Office, Financial Aid Office, etc.) about specific issues as they develop the plan.

The planning committee should:
   a. Decide on formal program and degree or certificate titles (it is advisable to discuss the proposed titles with your Registrar’s Office to avoid title duplication or confusion with existing degree/certificate programs).
   b. Prepare a concise “mission statement” to guide the planning process. What are the educational and societal goals for the program? What needs does it meet? Why does it make sense for Tufts at this time?
   c. Gather information to support the rationale for the program. Here are some typical Provost and Trustee questions that should be anticipated:
      1) How does the program advance the university’s goals of academic excellence and leadership?
      2) How does the program relate to the school’s (or schools’) strategic directions?
      3) Is the proposed degree based on a coherent body of knowledge and scholarly literature with depth and breadth sufficient to justify concentrated/advanced study?
      4) What professional societies and accrediting groups are allied with the degree specialty?
      5) What special career qualifications will the program confer on its graduates? Is there a job market for graduates?
      6) Does Tufts have enough faculty with appropriate expertise and sufficient interest to support the degree curriculum? (If this is a graduate research degree, is the quality and amount of Tufts’ faculty research on relevant topics sufficient for support of the projected number of student thesis projects?)
      7) Does Tufts have sufficient academic resources, including but not limited to laboratory facilities and library and information, technology to support the degree/certificate program? (A specific assessment of library needs should be documented using the appended University Library Council Library Resources Evaluation Form.)
8) What evidence is there for a market for the program? What kind of students and how many are likely to apply?

9) Are there similar (competing) programs at other institutions, and if so, why should Tufts offer the degree? How might the Tufts program be different? Will the Tufts program be of distinctive quality or unique in some way?

10) How does the program differ from and fit in with other degree programs at Tufts? (identify connections and overlaps).

d. Decide on the basic structure and implementation of the program. Typical Provost and Trustee questions might include:
   1) What student audience will be targeted and how will they be recruited to apply?
      How many students are expected to apply, and how many will you admit each year?
   2) Formal admission requirements: GPA? Work experience? Test scores? Prerequisite courses or degrees? References?
   3) Any options for part-time, evening, and summer study?
   4) Satisfactory progress requirements?
   5) How will tuition be set? Financial aid available?
   6) Program content? (core courses and elective options with objective/contribution to degree for each; degree requirements and student schedule for completion)
   7) Identify participating faculty (with their consent)? What are their qualifications?
   8) Any impact on existing courses and programs? Are any new courses required?
   9) Special resources required—start-up costs, space, IT, library collections (refer to University Library Council Form)?
  10) Is there a sound business plan? Will the program be self-supporting?
  11) How does the program content compare to counterparts at other institutions?

e. Determine how the program will be administered:
   Location? Director—who and what % release time? Staff assistance? Steering Committee? Student admissions, advising, and records? Financial management?

f. Determine how the achievement of the program goals will be assessed. Plan a timetable and process for evaluation, and for accreditation if applicable. The assessment and evaluation plan must be scheduled before the end of the fifth year of program operation and must include an external evaluation component.

3. **Prepare the formal proposal in draft form** (Please include the required information in subtitled text sections as follows):
   - **Executive Summary**: title, description of the program and brief rationale (1/2 page)
   - **Introduction**: Overview of program purpose and organization (1/2-1 page)
   - **Rationale**: Why this program makes sense, why at Tufts, compare other programs, why now, market, etc. (1-2 pages)
   - **Program Content**: Admission requirements, advising plan, curriculum, core and elective courses described and justified, qualified faculty identified with their consent, degree requirements, etc. (As long as necessary—use Appendices for course descriptions, abbreviated faculty CVs, descriptions of similar programs at other institutions, etc.)
   - **Administration and Governance**: Program Director(s), faculty governance of curriculum. For interschool programs especially, explain which office is responsible for administrative/financial oversight, student records (applications, admission, advising, progress, degree certification, Registrar).
   - **Plans for Roll-out**: Timetable for program development, advertising and application deadlines; projected enrollment for first and succeeding years.
   - **3-5 Year Business Plan**: Show projected tuition income and its distribution to participating school units; other up-front school investment or grant income. All real costs including O&M, faculty and
administrative compensation, financial aid, advertising, operating office expenses, start-up costs, IT and library resources (based on the completed ULC Library Resources Evaluation form), etc.

- **Evaluation**: Plan and timetable for periodic evaluation of program in relation to stated educational, enrollment, and financial goals. Include explicit steps for an external evaluation component and any plans for professional accreditation. Propose a timetable for follow-up report to Trustees that uses specific measures to compare outcomes with expectations.

- **Appendices**: New course descriptions, charts, curricular plans, Library Resource Evaluation form, abbreviated faculty CVs, programs at other institutions, etc.

4. **Approvals required prior to submitting to Provost**
   The cognizant Associate Provost should review the proposal before initiating the formal approval process. He/she will schedule a review of joint, interdisciplinary, or dual degree programs by the Research and Graduate Programs Council and discuss doctoral program proposals with the University Council on Graduate Education at this time. After receiving the review and comment from the relevant councils, the **appropriate Academic School Dean** should obtain the following approvals:
   a. **Faculty**. Faculty approval from each school involved, as required by school faculty bylaws (typically, a standing curriculum or program committee reviews and recommends action for full faculty vote at their next regularly scheduled meeting).
   b. **Executive Administrative Dean**. Written approval from the Executive Administrative Dean of each school involved.
   c. **Dean**. A cover letter from the dean of each school involved, addressed to the Provost documenting his/her support of the degree or certificate program.
   d. **V.P. for Finance**. Submit final proposal copy for **signature approval of Business Plan** by University V.P. for Finance or designate.
   e. **Cognizant Associate Provost**. A brief statement of approval including any program aspects addressed in reviews by the Research and Graduate Program Council and the University Council on Graduate Education.

5. **University routing for Trustee approval of proposed degree programs**.
   All prior approvals must be in hand, and the proposal and any accompanying memos must be submitted in electronic form to the Provost’s Office (to Senior Assistant Provost Liz Canny with a cc to the Provost) in time for the Trustee mailing, at least six weeks before next scheduled meeting. Check with Provost’s Office for exact deadline.
   a. Submit proposal (electronic and hard copy) to Provost with cover memo listing dates of any school faculty committee and full faculty approval votes, and attach written notice of support from School Dean(s), Executive Administrative Dean(s), VP for Finance (McGurty), and Associate Provost (Lee or Mann).
   b. Provost reviews and approves the proposal. In the case of degree programs, the Provost submits the program to the President for review/approval, and then arranges for distribution to the Trustee Academic Affairs Committee in time for next scheduled meeting.
   c. Degree program proposals are discussed and voted upon by the Trustee Academic Affairs Committee. The principal advocate for the proposal and/or the Dean(s) of School(s) or designees involved in granting the degree may be invited to answer questions that the Trustee Academic Affairs Committee may have.

Add Glossary:

- **Joint degree or certificate program**
- **Interdisciplinary degree or certificate program**
- **Dual degree or certificate program**

**Academic Deans by School**:
- Graduate School of Arts and Sciences: Lynne Pepall, PhD, Interim Dean
- Engineering: Sergio Fantini, PhD, Associate Dean for Graduate Education
• Dental: Nancy Arbree, DDS, Associate Dean, Academic Affairs
• Friedman/Nutrition: Patrick Webb, PhD, Academic Dean
• Medical: Jeffrey Glassroth, MD, Vice Dean
• Fletcher: Laurent Jacque, PhD, Academic Dean
• Sackler: Naomi Rosenberg, PhD, Dean
• Cummings/Veterinary: Angie Warner, DVM, DSc, Associate Dean for Academic Affairs

Executive Administrative/Associate Deans by School:
• Arts and Sciences: Leah McIntosh, Executive Administrative Dean
• Engineering: Scott G. Sahagian, Executive Associate Dean
• Dental: A. Joseph Castellana, Executive Associate Dean
• Friedman/Nutrition: position currently unfilled
• Medical (including Sackler): Marsha Semuels, Executive Administrative Dean
• Fletcher: Gerard Sheehan, Executive Associate Dean
• Cummings/Veterinary: Joseph McManus, Associate Dean for Administration & Finance

Accepted by University Council on Graduate Education 2/2006
9/20/06
University Library Council
Library Resources Evaluation Form

The evaluation of resources available in the Tufts libraries to support a proposed degree or certificate program is an essential component of the review process. Once the scope of the proposed program has been defined, the faculty member making the proposal should provide a written program description to the campus library Director or appropriate Bibliographer and schedule a meeting to discuss the program’s library-based needs. The library will then prepare a written assessment of its ability to meet those needs. In the event that the library’s holdings are insufficient to support the proposed program, the evaluation will include a budget for additional support.

Please allow 3 weeks for this evaluation to occur. For this reason, it is required that the library evaluation be taken into account when developing the departmental review process timeline. If the evaluation shows the need for additional expenditures, further discussion by the library with the appropriate administrators will be necessary before the proposal is submitted for final review.

This form must be included with the proposal that is submitted to the Provost’s Office.

New Program Title: ________________________________________________________________

Department Submitting Proposal: ____________________________________________________

Contact Person/Phone: _____________________________________________________________

Date of meeting with Bibliographer: _________________________________________________

Signature of Faculty:
______________________________________________ Date: ____________

Signature of Library Director or Bibliographer:
______________________________________________ Date: ____________

This section to be filled out by the Bibliographer.

☐ Existing library resources are sufficient to meet program needs as outlined in the proposal.

☐ Existing library resources are not sufficient to meet program needs as outlined in the proposal.

☐ Library evaluation form is attached.